UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,480	09/15/2005	Masahiro Yamakawa	4670-0110PUS1	8164
2292 7590 12/15/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			REDDY, KARUNA P	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/549,480	YAMAKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KARUNA P. REDDY	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 O</u>	ctober 2008				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	, 				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	7 pante quayie, 1000 0.2. 1.1, 10	3.3.2.3.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.				
ادر المارة على المارة					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The datifor declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form 1.10-102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/9/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/549,480 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed 10/9/2008. Claims 1 and 13 are amended; and claim 3 is cancelled. Accordingly, claims 1-2 and 4-13 are currently

pending in the application.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Double Patenting

 Claims 1-2 and 4-12 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8, and 12-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6, 656, 633 B2.

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraphs 4 and 5 of office action mailed 4/9/2008 and incorporated here by reference.

 Claims 1-2 and 4-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 6-10 of copending Application No. 10/567, 119.

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 6 of office action mailed 4/9/2008 and incorporated here by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 10/549,480 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 13 is directed to an electric double layer capacitor comprising an electrode containing binder composition comprising water and monomers. There is no support for an electric double layer capacitor comprising an electrode containing a binder composition comprising monomers. It is noted that specification at page 3 and elsewhere supports a binder composition containing binder polymer and not the monomers before polymerization.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments, filed 10/9/2008, with respect to prior art rejection in paragraphs 9 and 10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-4 and 6-13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamakawa et al (US 6,656,633 B2); and claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamakawa et al (US 6,656,633 B2) as applied to claims 1-4 and 6-11, and further in view of Kasuke (JP 08-107047) has been withdrawn in view of the statement of common ownership (Yamakawa '633 and present application) at the time of invention.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/9/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, applicant argues that (A) Claim 1 has been amended to recite

the particle diameter of binder polymer which is critical to the superior properties such as improved binding force, internal resistance and inhibition of reaction. Thus, obviousness-type double patenting rejection over Yamakawa (U 6,656,633) should be withdrawn; (B) present application was filed earlier than '119 and as such does not require the filing of a terminal disclaimer if obviousness-type double patenting is the only rejection remaining in the earlier filed of two pending applications.

With respect to (A), it is noted that mere conclusory statements, by applicant, do not rebut a prima facie case of obviousness. Such statements should be supported by experimental data correlating the criticality of particle size of binder polymer to the alleged superior properties.

With respect to (B), obviousness-type double patenting with respect to later filed application '119 is not the only rejection pending in the present application.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Application/Control Number: 10/549,480 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KARUNA P. REDDY whose telephone number is (571)272-6566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. P. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796